

CWPC Planning Application Objections 25 Apr 2026 - 17 Jun 2026			
	28-Apr	Land Adjacent To Heath End Road Blackwell Heath High Wycombe HP10 9HE	CWPC objects to this application for the reasons stated below: • Access to the site should be from both Spring Lane and Heath End Road to assist with traffic movement. • The Greybelt status of the site requires clarification, in particular the top third which does not fit with the definition. • The proximity of the site to the Ancient Woodland must be considered. • It is noted that the Biodiversity net gain is only 6.5% - the target is 10%. • Clarification regarding the ongoing maintenance of the play areas is required. • The transport assessment mentions buses and access to them. This also requires clarification as the current service is unreliable and heavily supported by DRT. We therefore ask that this application be referred to the planning committee for determination.
PL/26/02997/OA			PENDING
	09-Jun	46 New Road Tylers Green Buckinghamshire HP10 8DL	CWPC objects to this proposal for the following reasons: • It is an overdevelopment of the site • The private amenity space of the proposed dwelling is inadequate • The negative impact on the environment • We are concerned that the new access road onto New Road is very narrow • It will have a negative impact on the privacy of 48a New Road • It will lead to additional parking on New Road • Construction traffic will negatively impact New Road • The plan does not appear to be in accordance with the currently proposed Bucks Local Plan, with regard to back-yard developments.
PL/26/03642/FA			PENDING

7. Electronic payments

- 7.1. Where internet banking arrangements are made with any bank, the Deputy Clerk shall be appointed as the Service Administrator. The bank mandate agreed by the Council shall identify a number of Councillors who will be authorised to approve transactions on those accounts and a minimum of two people will be involved in any online approval process. No signatory should be involved in approving any payment to themselves.
- 7.2. All authorised signatories shall have access to view the Council's bank accounts online.
- 7.3. No employee or Councillor shall disclose any PIN or password, relevant to the Council or its banking, to anyone not authorised in writing by the Council or a duly delegated committee.
- 7.4. The Service Administrator shall set up all items due for payment online. A list of payments for approval signed by two councillors, together with copies of the relevant invoices, shall be sent by email to one authorised signatories (except salaries).
- 7.5. In the prolonged absence of the Service Administrator an authorised signatory shall set up any payments due before the return of the Service Administrator.
- 7.6. The authorised signatory shall check the payment details against the invoices before approving each payment using the online banking system.
- 7.7. Evidence shall be retained showing the councillor has approved the payment online and a printout of the transaction confirming that the payment has been made shall be appended to the invoice for audit purposes.
- 7.8. A full list of all payments made in a month shall be provided to the next committee meeting and appended to the minutes.
- 7.9. With the approval of the Council in each case, regular payments (such as gas, electricity, telephone, broadband, water, National Non-Domestic Rates, refuse collection, pension contributions and HMRC payments) may be made by variable direct debit, provided that the instructions are signed/approved online by two authorised members. The approval of the use of each variable direct debit shall be reviewed by the Council at least every two years.
- 7.10. Payment may be made by BACS or CHAPS by resolution of the Council provided that each payment is approved online by two authorised bank signatories, evidence is retained and any payments are reported to the next meeting. The approval of the use of BACS or CHAPS shall be renewed by resolution of the Council at least every two years.
- 7.11. If thought appropriate by the Council, regular payments of fixed sums may be made by banker's standing order, provided that the instructions are signed by two members, evidence of this is retained and any payments are reported to Council when made. The approval of the use of a banker's standing order shall be reviewed by the Finance & General Purposes committee at least every two years.

CHEPPING WYCOMBE PARISH COUNCIL RESILIENCE PLAN V2

Background

1. Buckinghamshire Unitary Council has its own Resilience Plan 1 and has been encouraging Town and Parish Councils to develop their own. A CWPC Working Party was established to develop such a plan and this is the outcome.

Aims & Objectives

2. The aim of this plan is to detail the actions that the CWPC should take in the event of a serious emergency within their parish area. The objective is to focus on those actions that are within the remit and capability of the Council and do not duplicate the activities that would automatically be taken by other authorities and agencies including utility companies.

Risk Analysis

3. The CWPC Working Party have undertaken a risk analysis and their findings are detailed at Annex A.

What To Do In The Event Of An Emergency

4. In the event of an emergency, the Parish Clerk would alert the Chairman/Vice Chairman and establish a working team of Councillors from the appropriate Ward to manage the Council's response.

Local Resources

5. A list of resources within each of the Wards is listed at Annex B.

Useful Telephone Numbers

6. Many of the local magazines contain lists of useful telephone numbers but key ones are shown in Annexes B and C.

Annual Review

7. It is essential that this plan is reviewed by the F&GP committee annually and that Annexes B & C are updated as necessary. A record of each review should be made in the minutes of the appropriate committee meeting.

Annexes:

- A. CWPC Risk Assessment.
- B. List of Local Resources By Ward.
- C. List of Other Useful Telephone Numbers.

1. Appendix 1 for Council Resilience Framework and Standards CRFS
(<https://www.google.co.uk/search?q=buckinghamshire+unitary+council+resilience+plan>) Resilience Plan – Approved by Full Council 13 March 2025 Next Review –
F&GP February 2026

ANNEX A - CWPC RISK ASSESSMENT CWPC RESILIENCE - RISK TABLE			
RISKS	WARDS AFFECTED	COMMENTS	CWPC PREPARATION
1 AIRCRAFT CRASH	ALL	INCIDENT WOULD BE MANAGED BY NATIONAL AGENCY BUT CWPC WOULD BE EXPECTED TO PROVIDE SUPPORT.	IDENTIFY ACCOMMODATION FOR RECOVERY TEAMS PLUS POSSIBLE FEEDING ARRANGEMENTS SEE ANNEX B
2 TRAIN CRASH	LOUDWATER	INCIDENT WOULD BE MANAGED BY NATIONAL AGENCY BUT CWPC WOULD BE EXPECTED TO PROVIDE SUPPORT.	IDENTIFY ACCOMMODATION FOR RECOVERY TEAMS PLUS POSSIBLE FEEDING ARRANGEMENTS SEE ANNEX B
3 MOTORWAY CRASH	LOUDWATER FLACKWELL	INCIDENT WOULD BE MANAGED BY NATIONAL AGENCY BUT CWPC WOULD BE EXPECTED TO PROVIDE SUPPORT.	IDENTIFY ACCOMMODATION FOR RECOVERY TEAMS PLUS POSSIBLE FEEDING ARRANGEMENTS SEE ANNEX B
4 PANDEMIC	ALL	COVID SHOWED THAT EACH VILLAGE WAS ABLE TO MUSTER A TEAM OF SUPPORTERS TO SUCCESSFULLY RESPOND TO THAT OUTBREAK.	NONE NEEDED.

5	TERRORISM	ALL	INCIDENT WOULD BE MANAGED BY NATIONAL AGENCY BUT CWPC WOULD BE EXPECTED TO PROVIDE SUPPORT.	IDENTIFY ACCOMMODATION FOR RECOVERY TEAMS PLUS POSSIBLE FEEDING ARRANGEMENTS SEE ANNEX B
6	LONG TERM POWER OUTAGE	ALL	MOST HEATING SYSTEMS RELY ON THE SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AND ANY LONG TERM OUTAGE COULD AFFECT THE HEALTH OF VULNERABLE PEOPLE.	SEE SCOTTISH AND SOUTHERN ELECTRICITY NETWORKS COMMUNITY TOOL KIT. PUBLICISE NEED TO REGISTER FOR PRIORITY SERVICES.
7	FLASH FLOODING	LOUDWATER	NOT CURRENTLY A SERIOUS ISSUE BUT CLIMATE CHANGE MIGHT CHANGE THAT SITUATION.	IDENTIFY ACCOMMODATION FOR THOSE HAVE TO BE EVACUATED FROM THEIR HOMES SEE ANNEX B . IN ADDITION, CONSIDER ARRANGING A FLOOD PROTECTION EXHIBITION THAT WOULD SHOW PEOPLE WHAT THEY COULD DO TO PROTECT VULNERABLE HOMES.
8	EXTREME WEATHER	ALL	STRONG WINDS OFTEN CAUSE TREES TO FALL SOMETIMES BLOCKING ROADS AND FOOTPATHS. ADDITIONALLY, PERIODS OF EXTREME HEAT OR COLD CAN AFFECT THE HEALTH OF THE VULNERABLE IN OUR COMMUNITY.	WE NEED TO IDENTIFY PLACES THAT HAVE COOL SPACES AND WARM SPACES. WILL NEED TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE WITH 4 X4 WHEEL DRIVE VEHICLES AND CHAINSAWS.
9	COMPUTER HACKING	CWPC ONLY	RELIANCE ON COMPUTER SYSTEMS FOR COMMUNICATION MEANS WE NEED TO ENSURE WE DO EVERYTHING TO ENSURE OUR	OUR SYSTEMS ARE ALREADY BACKED UP EVERY HOUR EXTERNALLY BUT MORE MAY NEED TO BE DONE. WE ALSO NEED TO ENSURE COUNCILLORS HOME

IT SYSTEMS ARE NOT HACKED
AND REMAIN FULLY
OPERATIONAL.

COMPUTERS ARE PROTECTED
THEREFORE A WORKING GROUP
WILL BE ESTABLISHED TO DEVELOP
AN IT SECURITY POLICY FOR THE
OFFICE AND MEMBERS HOME
COMPUTERS.

CHEPPING WYCOMBE PARISH COUNCIL
APPLICATION FOR GRANT/DONATION BY CHARITABLE, VOLUNTARY OR OTHER
ORGANISATIONS
(Local Government Act 1972, Section 137)

Please note: this application will not be considered unless it is accompanied by a copy of the latest set of annual accounts showing the organisations income, expenditure and level of balances. If the organisation does not prepare annual accounts, copies of the bank statements covering the previous six months must be enclosed.

Name of organisation:

Flackwell Heath Methodist Church

Secretary/Sponsor:

Senior steward

Contact details:

Treasurer/Financial Officer:

Contact details:

How is the organisation established (delete as appropriate)

Incorporated by Royal Charter	Registered as a Friendly Society
Incorporated under Companies Act	By affiliation to any national body
Registered as a Charity	Deed of Trust
Charity No	Other (please specify below): Part of Methodist Church Connexion

What are the objectives of the organisation?

Does the organisation carry out any trading activity with a view to profit?

Does your organisation have a membership?

Our intention as stated in our Vision plan is to reach as many people as possible with the Gospel message of the love of Jesus, to disciple those who already have a connection with the church, to continue to feed and grow our members and to serve the local community in close unity with our brothers and sisters in Christ from other denominations.

For what purpose of project is the grant/donation requested?

To replace our existing toilet block with a four self-contained gender-neutral toilet cubicles (one to include a baby changing area), plus a separate disabled/baby changing cubicle and a small kitchenette.

Please specify:

Total estimated cost of the project	£90,000
Dates to commence and complete	Mid July 2026 - early September 2026
Amount already available	£20,000
Amount expected to be available at start	£80,000

Other applications for grants for the project:

Body:	Amount applied for:
-------	---------------------

Methodist Church High Wycombe Circuit	£30,000
Methodist Church Northampton District	£30,000

Amount being requested from CWPC:
£10,000

Who will benefit from the project, specifically with residents of Chepping Wycombe in mind?

As well as improving the facilities for our members, we are currently providing many services to the local community and letting out the building to local businesses.

This is a summary of our current activities:

Monday - morning letting to Trusty Guts core somatic exercise class, afternoon letting to local childminder, evening letting to Dance Irish.

Tuesday - morning line dancing group, afternoon letting to local childminder, evening Girls Brigade

Wednesday - morning church run toddler group, afternoon/evening letting to the Chill Zone (a youth group supported by the Parish Council), evening line dancing group

Thursday - morning women's group meets twice a month, afternoon letting to local childminder

Friday - morning toddler group with Christian craft and music

Saturday - community coffee morning

Sunday - monthly community lunch, weekly morning act of worship and monthly afternoon worship

Our current toilet facilities are not fit for purpose and the improvements will benefit every user of the building. We had to remove the men's cubicle when we upgraded our heating last year in order to install two new boilers. The addition of a kitchenette which will serve the back area of the church will give us more flexibility to let this area at the same time as the hall (which has a full kitchen).

The building was registered as a building of community asset in 2023 at the instigation of the Parish Council in recognition of its importance to the village.

I submit this application on behalf of the stated organisation and believe all statements made or enclosed to be true.

Signed:Dated:

Capacity in which signed: Senior Steward.....

Should the application be successful any cheque will be made payable to the name of the organisation as stated overleaf and sent to the Treasurer unless otherwise stated.

Alternative addressee for grant:

Please return the completed application and supporting documents to:
Clerk of the Council Chepping Wycombe Parish Council Cock Lane Tylers Green Bucks HP10 8DS

Or alternatively send the application via email to clerk@cwpc.org.uk
Any queries please do not hesitate to contact the office on: 01494 814600

SECTION A		Unrestricted Funds	Restricted Funds	Totals this year	Totals last year
		£	£	£	£
a1	RECEIPTS	Note			
a2	Offerings and Tax recovered	19,257		19,257	22,521
a3	Bank and CFB interest and Investment income		805	805	672
a4	Lettings	6,318		6,318	4,256
a5	Other receipts	3,721	53,216	56,937	8,820
a6	TOTAL RECEIPTS	29,296	54,020	83,316 (a7)	36,269

SECTION B					
b1	PAYMENTS				
b2	Circuit Assessment or Share	6,650		6,650	12,400
b3	Donations				84
b4	Repairs and Maintenance	7,377		7,377	1,747
b5	Utilities (Insurances, water charges, heating & lighting)	9,510		9,510	8,155
b6					
b7	Other payments	2,874	55,796	58,670	4,822
b8	TOTAL PAYMENTS	26,411	55,796	82,207 (b9)	27,208

SECTION C					
c1	NET RECEIPTS/PAYMENTS FOR THE YEAR	(a6-b8)	2,885	(1,776)	1,109
c2	Total funds brought forward from last year		9,453	17,957	27,410 (c6)
c3	Sub total	(c1+c2)	12,338	16,181	28,519
c4	Transfers and adjustments				(6) (c7)
c5	TOTAL FUNDS AT END OF YEAR	(c3+c4)	12,338	16,181	28,513 (c8)
					27,410 (c6)

SECTION D					
FOR INFORMATION ONLY: MONEY RECEIVED AND PASSED ON TO EXTERNAL ORGANISATIONS					
d	(these amounts are not to be included in total receipts/payments figures above)		£		£
d1	Balance brought forward from last year				84
d2	Offerings/Gifts - received for external organisations		247		
d3	Offerings/Gifts - passed to external organisations		178		84
d4	BALANCE STILL TO BE PAID	(d1+d2-d3)	69		

SUMMARY OF CHURCH ACCOUNTS AND INTERNAL ORGANISATIONS REPORTING TO THE CHURCH COUNCIL

SECTION E Please follow the Guidance Notes to complete this page

Summary of the Church accounts for the year ended 31 August 2025 and Internal Organisations reporting to the Church Council/Church Meeting. Note that the funds of an Internal Organisation would normally be Restricted funds unless it could be clearly shown that they could be used for any Methodist purpose. This section must be completed to arrive at the gross income and expenditure totals of the Church. If gross income exceeds the Accruals threshold, then the Accruals method of accounting AND A DIFFERENT FORM must be used to report the accounts (see Methodist website). Please refer to the guidance notes regarding transfers between the District and connected District Organisations.

INTERNAL ORGANISATIONS	Receipts	Payments	Net Receipts/ Payments	Adjustments	Opening balances	Closing balances
e1						
e2						
e3						
e4						
e5						
e6						
e7						
e8	Sub total of Internal Organisations funds				(e11)	(e12)
e9	Church accounts (totals brought forward from page 2 - totals column)					
	83,316 (a7)	82,207 (b9)	1,109	(6) (c7)	27,410 (c6)	28,513 (c8)
e10	TOTAL CASH FUNDS HELD BY CHURCH					
	83,316	82,207	1,109	(6)	27,410 (x)	28,513 (y)
	TOTAL RECEIPTS		TOTAL PAYMENTS			

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary and bring the totals forward

SECTION F

STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

CHURCH - CASH FUNDS HELD at 31 August 2025		OPENING BALANCES	CLOSING BALANCES
f1	Cash in hand	16	199
f2	Bank Current Account	9,677	13,108
f3	Bank Deposit Account		
f4	Central Finance Board	17,717	15,206
f5	Trustees for Methodist Church Purposes		
f6	Other funds		
f7	SUB TOTAL - Church accounts	27,410 (c6)	28,513 (c8)
f8	Total funds held by Internal Organisations (the closing balance total from above) (e12)	(e11)	(e12)
f9	TOTAL CASH FUNDS HELD BY CHURCH	27,410 (x)	28,513 (y)

SECTION G

OTHER ASSETS and LIABILITIES

	At 1 September 2024	At 31 August 2025
g1	Investments (include Endowments)	
g2	Land & Buildings (see notes re Insurance value)	
g3	Other Assets	
g4	Loan(s) - show amount outstanding at year end	
g5	Other Liabilities	

f4 Include only Funds held at the Central Finance Board

f5 Include only Funds held at Trustees for Methodist Church Purposes

g1 Include any other investments (not the cash element of TMCP trusts accounts this is included in line f5)

Buckinghamshire Council decision to hide public comments on planning applications

17 April 2026

Introduction

Included in the Agenda for the Cabinet meeting of 11 March 2025, was an item concerning a proposed 'Revision of the Statement of Community Involvement' (SCI), to which a new paragraph was to be added that would change the planning application section of the SCI, allowing Buckinghamshire Council to cease publishing comments by the public on planning applications on its public access website. This was as recommended in para 1.4 of the Executive Summary of a 11 March 2025 'Report to Cabinet' prepared by Lauren Green, Planning Policy Officer, which stated: "*Changes are being proposed to the planning application section specifically in relation to the Council no longer publishing planning application representations on its website*", adding in para 2.6: "*Reference is added in relation to how personal information as part of comments to a planning application is used in compliance with the Council's data protection policy and duties*". The updated SCI incorporating this change was approved by the Cabinet and came into force on 18 March 2025.

The Council's revised policy to hide comments received from the public about planning applications, is covered by a new paragraph inserted into the SCI, as follows:

While processing planning applications, public comments and representations are submitted via the Council's website using the Public Access online planning register. Some representations are also still submitted by email and letter. The Council will not publish public comments on planning applications on its website. This will prevent any instances when what constitutes as "personal data" could be published online in breach of General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and allows resources to be more efficiently deployed.

The restricted access now introduced is contrary to the core principle of the SCI that: "*The main aim of the SCI is to make consultation and involvement in planning more transparent, accessible, collaborative, inclusive and consistent*" as confirmed in para 2.1 of the Planning Policy Officer's 11 March 2025 'Report to Cabinet'.

Council's reasons for policy change

The Council maintains that this change of policy can be justified as follows:

- members of the public can still make their views on planning applications, and be considered when making decisions;
- it will remove the fear of possible repercussions when submitting comments;
- the planning process remains the same, and decision-makers will still see all comments, to be summarised in the officer's report;
- planning decisions will be made according to current legislation and planning policy, regardless of the number of representations in support or against a development.

It is claimed by Buckinghamshire Council that the change became necessary in order to protect personal information under GDPR rules, citing a recent data breach involving sensitive personal information in planning representations.

Typically, changes or additions to Council policies and decisions are scrutinised by Select Committees in advance of adoption. According to Buckinghamshire Council's constitution, Select Committees are made up of elected Councillors, but may include representatives from relevant local organisations, and are set up to investigate and review specific issues in detail, contribute to policy development, and hold decision makers to account.

There was no Select Committee set up to examine the Cabinet's proposals for changing the SCI. What this means to the community at large is:

- access to comments submitted by others - for example your neighbours – will not be possible;
- it reduces transparency and public trust in planning decisions;
- it is contrary to the spirit of the SCI requirement to facilitate community involvement;
- it is contrary to the NPPF and planning PPG which emphasise open decision-making, public participation, and ability for communities to understand the issues raised.

Referencing other Council's policies on the same issue

Whilst it is true that there have been a small number of other councils in England where data breaches and inadvertent publication of sensitive personal information have occurred, these have rarely been caused by publishing comments on planning applications submitted by individuals. National practice is overwhelmingly in favour of publication: of the 330 Local Planning Authorities in England, only six (1.8%) hide public comments on planning applications (Leeds, London Boroughs of Merton, Enfield, Havering and Hounslow, and Buckinghamshire). In June 2025, Wakefield Council reversed its decision to hide public comments just one month after its introduction, following public concerns over transparency and democratic accountability.

Compliance with GDPR rules

Compliance with GDPR rules has been cited by Buckinghamshire Council as the main reason for removing public comments from the public access website, claiming that this is necessary in order "to safeguard the confidentiality of personal information". The Council maintains that the GDPR *requires* them to stop publishing public comments. But this does not align with established GDPR practice or with planning-law norms, where the GDPR *permits* publication of planning comments with appropriate redaction; it does not mandate blanket removal. The Council's approach is therefore a policy choice, not a legal necessity.

Article 6(1)(e) of the GDPR allows the processing of personal data if it relates to a matter which is in the public interest and in any event, can easily be overcome by redacting personal details. The GDPR does not prohibit publishing planning comments. It simply requires redaction of personal data that is not necessary for the planning function.

Using the Wakefield Experience

The Wakefield Council was able to reverse its decision after determining that there was no GDPR requirement to keep comments hidden, and that there was no other legal barrier to reinstating comments. Public trust was the decisive factor, with the Council acknowledging that hiding comments damaged public confidence in planning decisions. No evidence was given that redaction burdens were unmanageable.

This reversal demonstrates two key points:

- GDPR does not prohibit publication of planning comments. Wakefield's ability to restore comments confirms that GDPR allows publication provided appropriate redaction and safeguards are in place.
- This aligns with standard practice across most UK planning authorities. The original justification was discretionary, not legally compelled.

Consultation

Para 7.1 of the 'Report to Cabinet' states that: "*No public consultation has been undertaken on this version of the SCI. The government's Planning Practice Guidance on Plan-making confirms that there is no requirement for local planning authorities to consult when reviewing and updating their Statement of Community Involvement*".

For over a year, the Council has resisted calls for reverting to the past policy of publicising public comments, despite repeated public objections, FOI challenges, and the absence of scrutiny by Select Committee. At the

11 March 2025 Cabinet meeting, Cllr Stuart Wilson asked Cllr Peter Strachan to explain why there had been no consultation with Councillors, and what had changed in the Council's data protection policy and duties to prevent the publication of responses to planning applications, as this limited the ability of elected representatives to reflect residents' concerns on planning matters? Cllr Strachan explained that retaining the *status quo*, but redacting sensitive/personal data was "simply too time consuming, costly, causes delays, and does not eliminate the risk entirely". He added that it was an "operational matter and a decision for the Service Director, and did not require formal consultation."

Because of their serious concerns over the Cabinet decision, many Councillors supported a call-in request to reconsider this retrograde decision. The technical reason given by the Councillors for this was that it "had not been made in accordance with the Council's constitution, its policies, procedures and processes". It had been stated at the 11 March 2025 Cabinet meeting that public representations could be maintained through an Environmental Information Request, but as Cllr Jonathan Waters has pointed out: "an EIR provides for a 20 working-day window to comply with the request, which makes it virtually impossible for any Ward Member to exercise their constitutional right to call-in a planning application".

Conclusion

The SCI repeatedly states that the Council aims to make planning engagement "transparent, accessible, collaborative, inclusive and consistent". The revised SCI contains ambiguous commitments, internal inconsistencies, and departures from national transparency norms, and does not satisfy the statutory purpose of ensuring *effective* community involvement. The revised SCI:

- Removes public visibility of planning comments;
- Reduces opportunities for communities to understand the issues raised;
- Limits the ability of parish councils and residents to see the breadth of public opinion.

The Council's claim that GDPR necessitates the change is not supported by national practice or Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) guidance. The GDPR requires *redaction*, not *removal*. In challenging the Council's change of policy, the following are the key points:

- It is axiomatic that planning norms favour transparency, public scrutiny, and accessible representations;
- The change is technically lawful, but conflicts with the principles of the planning system;
- It creates a direct contradiction between the SCI's stated principles and its operational commitments;
- SCI changes have been driven by risk-managing the Local Plan, not by community-engagement needs;
- This change in Buckinghamshire's policy is very rarely adopted elsewhere;
- The Wakefield example undermines the Council's claim that its policy is necessary or unavoidable.

Options

For the Council To respond positively to objections raised by Councillors and the public at large, and reverse their March 2025 decision to hide public comment;

OR

In a sign of disrespect, do nothing, and resist all attempts to modify its SCI policy.

For the Public Do nothing and accept this erosion of democratic principles;

OR

Apply pressure through reasoned argument, BFP campaign, support from our MP, or legal challenge.